pp. F. IX-4

Previous

Previous References 1936. (Mezrai

-34-142

File No. 66-36

Serial Nos. 1 – 2

Letter References.

6.37 – 38
403-38-F-6.
403-38-1-7.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for September 36.

SUBJECT.

Estimate for the addition
~~Construction~~ of 2 Kitchen block and Yagasala
to the Sri Ganapathi temple at
Chickmagalur

To the Mez Com. the Chief Engineer
" Comptroller " Dy Com Kadur

M 2.

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8 36

482-5 & 28-9-36.

Sanctions the estimate for Rs 3750 with
Govt contribution of Rs 1000 & directs that the
balance to be met by the Donor

G. R, S. APP. F. III—1] 1936-37

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mad)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING

FROM

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No.	Enclos. Spare copies	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

NOTES AND ORDERS.

An estimate for Rs 6000/- has already been sanctioned by govt in May 1935 for the construction of the abode temple. The M.C has now submitted an additional estimate for Rs 5500/ as prepared by the Executive Engineer, Madura Division. This estimate includes a sum of Rs 15 being the cost of preparing a probable abstract in the new building, which in the opinion of the M.C. C.

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 0245—GPB—2,500—23-8-32

File No of 193 6 Genera and Secretariat

strictly be considered to come under construction. He therefore recommends that the three items of expenditure amounting to Rs 1750/ included in the estimate, on account of prabhavali arrangement, water supply and electric lighting, may be deleted from the estimate, thereby reducing the estimated cost to Rs 3750/ to be met in equal proportion by the donor Mr. Nagappa Setty and from the Devasthanam Funds. Whether the donor is agreeable to have these items deleted from the estimate may also be a point for consideration. As the present estimate has received through the Chief Engineer, it is for consideration whether he need not be consulted in the matter now also.

It does not seem to be necessary to obtain the donor's consent to the revised estimate of Rs 3750 proposed by the Madurai Comr., as he will have to give up the idea of constructing the portion of the temple for which an estimate is now sent, if he is not prepared to accept the reduced Govt. grant proposed by the Madurai Comr.

31/8/36.

The estimate as revised by the M.C may be sanctioned. The donor ought not to expect Govt to share the cost of marble idol with peeta & prabhavali or of water supply & lighting arrangements

31 AUG. 1936

Gen. Secy)

31/8/36

General & Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 193 . [App. F. III–2

Serial No.

The Muzrai Comr's proposal may be approved.

Hd 1/9/36

Hd 1/9/36

Hd 2/9

Secy.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 2 SEP. 1936 MUZRAI BRANCH

FINANCIAL ASSISTANT U.O.No.Fl...625... 4. SEP 1936

This is a new temple and it is not known whether this has been taken under Government management and treated as a Muzrai Institution. The construction of the temple was sanctioned on the ground that Mr.Nagappa Setty had agreed to bear the entire cost. The present proposal is to effect certain additions, at a cost of Rs 3,750/- half the cost being borne by Government from the provision under " Muzrai", and the balance of Rs 1,875 being contributed by Mr.Nagappa Chetty (against Rs 2,000 agreed to be donated by Mr.Nagappa Chetty). It is not known whether there is a general rule or order with regard to Government aid in respect of new temples and whether the grant now proposed to be given is permissible under the general rule. When the limited Government grant is found insufficient even for meeting the urgent requirements of the existing Muzrai Institutions, sanction of grants for new temples, particularly for items of an optional or deferrable character, has to be avoided or at least minimised as far as possible.

No. but we have issued a monthly instruction. Please see that a copy is sent to us.

Hd 16/9

Have no knowledge of any such general order

Hd 16/9

NOTE–Contn. Sheet

16/9

4

request the purchase of Chickamagalur

File No. of 1936 | General and Revenue Secretariat

For perusal. If approved, HR Nagappa Setty may be asked to give the Rs 2000 he was willing to contribute and the balance of Rs 1750 only given from the min funds.

Hd 16/9/36

Sec-Member

As I have often noted, the file should have been submd to the Fl Secy first & then to me. The Gl Secy will kindly follow this in future

I have visited this Temple This is a purely private temple at the beginning & Govt made a build: Grant & some maintenance grant. It is not necessary that Govt should give a moiety every time the orgl donor wants to extend the building & he must do so at his cost. & Govt cannot pay half the cost. A sum of Rs 1000 may be sanctd for the purpose. & Dhn Setty told that he did not expect anything more. There are so many other really deserving temples requiring help from the small min grant 18/9

SM

(The instruction is noted. This is a case in which grant had been refused previously and I did not know if the matter will be reconsidered at all. That is how it was subd to the member first.)

11 Bordt Hd 24/9

Further estimate for re
Granaf with Temple at Chidambaram

General & Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 66 of 1936. | [App F. III–2

Serial No.	
1	1) Submitted with a draft G.O. Sanctioning 22/9/36. 2) Issue & put up a D.O. conveying the observations of the Mysore Commr 23/9/36 482-S. dated 26. 9. 36 The file is alleged a complaint [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat



Pet. No. 175—

OFFICE OF THE DEW RE,

Bangalore, Dated 25.8.1936

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Mysore Department, for disposal.

27 AUG.

By Order,
K R Rama
for Private Secretary to the Dewan. 28/8/36.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
207
28 AUG 1936
MUZRAI BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.
Date of Receipt 25-8-36
Petn. Regr. No. 175

20th August 1936

To,

The Deputy Comal- [illegible]
Kadur District.
CITY OF [illegible].

Sir,

[illegible] CITY OF [illegible].

[illegible] Y OF [illegible].

I beg to state that the construction of the above temple is nearing completion except the rear portion which has been kept back for the time being. It is now seen that without the completion of the entire structure the premises will not be fully useful for the devotees. The completion of the remaining work according to the slightly modified design (Plan and estimate annexed, duly signed by the executive engineer, Kadur division, and countersigned by me) is estimated at Rs.5,000/- including the southern mukha and with pleasing and proportional the sanitary fittings and electrification etc., exclusive of tools and plant which kindly saved by Government.

Out of the original estimate of Rs.15,150/- for the entire building, I have already contributed Rs.6,000/- and the balance that the Government should be graciously pleased to contribute the other Rs. [illegible] with a view to complete the cost

completion of the building, I have made arrangements to secure a further sum of .2,000/- in my own family with the hope that Government will meet the balance. According to the present estimate the entire cost will come to .11600/- of which, including the additional amount secured in my family a sum of .8,000/- has been contributed by us. Under the circumstances I respectfully pray that the Government may be graciously pleased to contribute the balance of .3,600/- and help to complete the construction work early.

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your very sincerely,
M.T. Ratchathevy

"COPY"

Mg 66-36-1. GENL. 216 TARIA

2 9. AUG 1936

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER

IN MYSORE

Bangalore, 28th August ....193 6.

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Venkatesa Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

SIR,

29 AUG 1936

Construction of the additional [illegible]

With reference to [illegible] dated [illegible] sanctioning an estimate for [illegible] I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of [illegible] which may be perused along with its enclosures and [illegible] for the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, submitting [illegible] estimate for [illegible] certain essential additions to [illegible] building and recommending a grant of [illegible] 5,500 from the State and General Muzrai Funds, the balance of Rs 2,000 being contributed by [illegible] Kappa Setty.

2. I agree with the Commissioner that it is necessary to make the proposed additions to [illegible] since the existing office [illegible] [illegible] and [illegible] celebrating [illegible] religious ceremonies, [illegible] render the entire locality [illegible] Kappa Setty has already contributed [illegible] 6,000 [illegible] and with further contribution, [illegible] may be availed of and the building completed. The estimate [illegible] includes the cost of providing [illegible] and cost of [illegible] in addition to certain other [illegible] considered to be under construction. [illegible]

Marginally noted items of proposed works costing Rs 1,750 may be deleted from the estimate thus reducing the cost to Rs 3,750 and that a moiety of it, viz., Rs 1,875 may be sanctioned from the Reserve under the grant for 'Repairs and Construction of Huzrai Institutions', the other moiety being contributed by Mr. Nagappa Setty. If this is approved, I shall instruct the Deputy Commissioner to recover the contribution promised by Mr. Nagappa Setty and credit it to the funds of the institution in the first instance.

Prabhavali arrangements	..	Rs 1,500
Water supply	.. ..	" 150
Electric lighting	.. ..	" 100
		Rs 1,750

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. N Anandalwar

Assistant Commissioner
For Huzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Headquarters)

Letter No.A5-961/28 dated 21- -36 from the Deputy Commissioner Kadur District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Adverting to your Memo No.571/34-35 dated 22nd May 1935 forwarding a copy of Government Order No.1453-5/Muz.230-34-2 dated 4th/8th May 1935, sanctioning an estimate for Rs 6,000 for constructing Sri Ganapathi temple at Chickmagalur, I have the honor to state that the work is nearing completion and to forward herewith letter dated 20th August 1936 in original, from Dharmapravartha Mr. M. M. Nagappa Setty, together with a plan and an estimate for Rs 5,500 for the proposed addition of kitchen block and Yagasala to the temple in the rear portion In this connection, I have to state that the proposed addition now under construction is a necessity and will make it quite useful to the public and it will also afford necessary conveniences of the daily poojas. The structure, if completed with the proposed addition will make it more beautiful and attractive. It may also be stated that this was originally proposed and approved by the Devasthanam but had to be dropped for want of funds. Now that portion of the money required for the purpose is forthcoming, the sanction of a grant of Rs 5,500 from General Muzrai Funds may be very favourably considered. If this grant is not forthcoming the promised donation will be held back.

The plan is countersigned by the Sub-Divisional Officer and the Executive Engineer accompanies the estimate.

COPY"

M N Dhanvalam

For Muzrai Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Government Order No.1453-5/Muz.228-34-2 dated 4/8th May 1935 sanctioning an estimate for Rs.6,000/- for the construction of the Sri Ganapathi Temple at Chickmagalur, the cost being met from the contribution made by Dharma Pravartha Mr.M.L.Nagappa Chetty and the establishment and tools and plant charges being waived.

2. Letter No.C.241/36-37 dated 28th August 1936 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, submitting with his opinion a further estimate for Rs.5,500/- for adding a kitchen block and yagashala to the temple referred to above.

ORDER: 482-5/Muz 66-36-2 Dated, Bangalore, the 26th September 1936.

The estimate for Rs.5,500/- includes the cost of preparing a prabhavali etc., at a cost of Rs.1,500/- in addition to certain other extraneous items which cannot be strictly considered to come under construction. The Muzrai Commissioner therefore recommends that the marginally noted items of works costing Rs.1,750/- may be deleted from the estimate thus reducing the aggregate cost to Rs.3,750 and that a moiety of it viz., Rs.1,875 may be met from the Reserve under the grant for "Repairs and construction of Muzrai Institutions" the other half being contributed by Mr.Nagappa Chetty. The estimate for Rs.3,750/- as modified by the Muzrai Commissioner is sanctioned. The contribution of Government towards the cost will be limited to Rs.1,875/- to be met from the source pointed out and the balance of Rs.1,875/- to be met from contribution by the donor.

Prabhavali arrangements	-	Rs.1,500
Water supply - - -	"	150
Electric lighting - -	"	100
Total	Rs.	1,750

For General Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with sanctioned draft and other papers.

" Comptroller to Government.

" Chief Engineer of Mysore.

" Deputy Commissioner

F VI—G 2]

.....

.....

.....

Diary. No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Section Merz

File No. 66-36

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 28-3- ...193 6

Signed by Mr Mir Safdar Hussain

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part? 24

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

Read— G.O. No 1453-54 Merz 228-36 - 2 dated 4/8.5.1936 & an estimate for Rs 6000 for the construction of the Sri Gurabelle temple at Chickamagalur, the cost being met from the contribution made by Dharma Pravartha Mr M L Nagappa Setty and the establishment & tools & plant charges being waived. letter No C 241/36-37 dated

Order thereon.—No 28-8-1936 from the D.C in Mysore submitting with his opinion a further estimate for Rs 5554 for adding a kitchen block & yagashala to the temple referred to above

from the D.C. Kadur &c.

The estimate for Rs 5500 includes the cost of preparing a Prabhodhalaya at a cost of Rs 1500 in addition to certain other extraneous items which can not be strictly considered to come under construction. The M.C therefore recommends that the marginally noted items of ~~Increase~~ works costing Rs 1750/- may be deleted from the estimate thus reducing the aggregate cost to Rs 3750 and that a moiety of it viz Rs 1875 - may be met from the Receipts under the grant for "Repairs and construction of Panchayat Institutions" the other ~~moiety~~ half being contributed by Mr. Nagappa Setty. The estimate for Rs 3750/- as modified by the M.C is forwarded The contribution of Gold towards

1 Prabhodhalaya arrangements Rs 1500
2 Water Supply " 150
3 Electric Lighting " 100
Total Rs 1750

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 03602—GPB - 22,500—12-7-35

S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*

the cost will be limited to Rs 1000/- to be met from the source pointed out and the balance Rs 2750 to be met from contribution by the donor Mr. Mrs. Nagappa Setty who has informed that he should not expect anything more from Govt for the purpose. There are many other deserving temples requiring help from the Govt. ment for construction and repairs of temples, which is very limited.

dcs 23/9/36
Land Revs Supdt
BL
22/9

To Mrs

The H. C. with enclosures & other papers

Commissioner

Chief Secy

Govt.

dix F. G.–1]

UBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures. .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section.

File No.

Serial No.

No.

To

The M.C

Dear Sir,

With reference to Govt order MD 682-57 Mungy 66-36-2 dated 28.9.36 sanctioning an added estimate for Rs 3,750 for adding a kitchen block and Yagasala to the Sri Gayatathi Temple at Chickamagalur, the contribution of Government being limited to Rs 1000/- and the balance Rs 2750 being met by the donors, I am directed to observe that the temple was purely a private

building at the beginning and
Govt. waived the charges on account
of ~~state~~ timber, tools, and plant.
~~Govt made a handsome grant~~
in connection with the construction of the temple
~~and a handsome grant for~~ to it

It is not necessary that Govt
should make a contribution towards
~~should give a~~ the cost of the temple
~~every time~~ every time the original
~~does~~ does Mr M.L. Nagappa Setty
hands to extend the building.
He must do so at his own cost.
In the present case, a contribution
of Rs 1000 from State funds has been
sanctioned for the purpose and
Mr Nagappa Setty should be
told that he could not expect
anything more, as there are really
many other more deserving
institutions requiring help from
~~Govt~~ limited funds available
~~Govt~~ ~~for such worthy purpose~~

Yours Sincerely

2/2/17 Earl Loss
2/10

17 pages